

Applicant:
Name:
Post Address:

The International Criminal Court
Office of the Prosecutor
Communications
Post Office Box 19519
NL-2500 CM The Hague
The Netherlands

10 May 2024

**Communication on the identification of crimes under international law
before the International Criminal Court
(TREATY OF ROME STATUTE, ART. 15.1 AND 53)**

On the basis of extensive documentation we indict those responsible for numerous violations of the Nuremberg Code, for crimes against humanity, for the crime of genocide, and for war crimes.

Perpetrators:

- Director General of the World Health Organisation TEDROS ADHANOM GHEBREYESUS,
- Chairman and CEO of the Pfizer Biopharmaceuticals Group, ALBERT BOURLA,
- Former President of the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut KLAUS CICHUTEK,
- Director of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) EMER COOKE,
- Former President Vaccines, Pfizer Biopharmaceuticals Group, NANETTE COCERO
- Co-Chairman of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation WILLIAM "BILL" GATES III,
- EU Commissioner for Health STELLA KYRIAKIDES,
- President of the European Commission URSULA VON DER LEYEN and others

specifically due to the following:

- **violations of the Nuremberg Code**
- **the crime of - global - genocide according to Article 6 b and c of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court**
- **the crime against humanity according to Article 7 Paragraph 1 a, b, e and k of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court**
- **the war crime Article 8 Paragraph 2 a (ii) and (iii) of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court**

also punishable under

the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide of 1948 and Customary International Law

Victim: THE POPULATION OF THE MEMBER STATES OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Background

On 11 February 2020, the WHO proposed the name COVID-19 for this newly emerged infectious disease. In January 2020, the disease developed into an epidemic in China and on 11 March 2020, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Director-General of the WHO, officially declared this epidemic a global pandemic. On 3 August 2021, an open letter to the Biden administration was published in which major NGOs made a number of demands.

s. https://healthpolicy.duke.edu/sites/default/files/2021-08/USEPCR%20Cover%20letter_FINAL_For%20Distribution.pdf

The main demands of 3 August 2021 were:

- 1. to organise a presidential-level "Global Vaccination Summit" before the UN General Assembly in September, bringing together public and private sector leaders from around the world (...) and committing to take the necessary measures to close gaps in vaccine supply and to address funding and capacity gaps in vaccine distribution and delivery, and to create demand"*
- 2. to "encourage world leaders to commit before or at the Summit to achieving the goal of vaccinating 70 per cent of the world's population by mid-2022"*

The implementation of demands started after only six weeks. Parallel to the UN General Assembly, US President Biden organised a virtual summit with representatives from 100 countries, at which the US President announced exactly what was demanded in the open letter. He called on other countries to follow the example of the USA, as reported by the Tagesschau in Germany.

The Tagesschau reported in its article on Biden's vaccination summit as follows:

"As an organisational framework, Biden launched a transatlantic vaccination partnership. "Today we are launching the EU-US partnership for a global vaccination campaign", he said, „in order to work more closely together. The goal is to have 70 per cent of the world's population vaccinated by September next year (2022)."

One of the signatories of the open letter is Scott Gottlieb. He signed the letter as a Fellow of the American Enterprise Institute and is also described as a former senior employee of the FDA, the US Food and Drug Administration. What is not revealed in the open letter (nor in the few media reports about it) is that Scott Gottlieb is also a member of Pfizer's board of directors. His connection to Pfizer remained concealed. Such conflicts of interest evidently exist among all signatories of the open letter.

As early as the beginning of May 2020, the EU Commission decided to exclusively purchase the vaccine from Pfizer/BioNTech in future, a company in which Bill Gates is a major shareholder. The EU Commission first ordered 600 million and then a further 1.8 billion vaccine doses from Pfizer, despite the fact that the EU only has 450 million inhabitants. At a price of around 20 dollars per vaccine dose, Pfizer and its shareholders, including Bill Gates, made almost 40 billion dollars in revenue from this order alone. This is a net profit of almost 40 billion dollars,

considering the fact that western nations also paid the vaccine development costs to the vaccine manufacturers.

The list of Bill Gates' Investments Foundation includes pharmaceutical companies such as Pfizer/BioNTech and others, but also companies that make money from the manufacture of equipment for vaccine production, such as BioE, or companies that make money from rapid antigen tests, such as Abbott. The list of investments of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is extensive.

s. <https://sif.gatesfoundation.org/portfolio/>

Bill Gates has earned tens of billions of dollars from the pandemic. Firstly, the share prices of the companies in which he had previously invested rose enormously during the pandemic and, secondly, these companies, such as Pfizer and BioNTech, earned billions more than ever before. As a shareholder in these companies, Bill Gates made easy money.

All major universities and institutes in the western world that have been involved in Covid-19 have been and are funded by Bill Gates. A few examples: John Hopkins University, which was considered the most important source of information on the global state of the pandemic since the beginning of Covid-19, received over 350 million dollars from Bill Gates. If one additionally considers the sub-organisations of John Hopkins University, the total sum paid to the university by Bill Gates, the Wellcome Trust and Open Philanthropy, another NGO, amounts to over half a billion dollars.

One of Bill Gates' most important investments are his donations to the WHO, which is responsible for declaring a global pandemic and providing the world's countries with guidelines on how to deal with the pandemic. Bill Gates has transferred a total of almost 2.8 billion dollars to the WHO up to September 2021. His influence on the WHO is accordingly great, as he is the largest private financier of the WHO and, according to Article 57 of the WHO Constitution, the donors may determine what the donated sum should be used for. And this is what he does do.

„Bill Gates earns his billions by investing in certain industries. Critics reproach the fact that these industries all have something to do with disease-causing conditions. For example, the Gates Foundation holds shares in Coca Cola worth 500 million dollars and shares in Walmart, the world's largest supermarket group, worth one billion dollars. It also holds stakes in the food companies Pepsi Co, Unilever, Kraft-Heinz, Mondelez and Tyson Foods; in the alcohol companies Anheuser-Busch and Pernod; and in the pharmaceutical companies Glaxo Smith Kline, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Gilead and Pfizer. The foundation also holds shares worth almost twelve billion dollars in investor Warren Buffett's Berkshire Hathaway Trust. The trust in turn owns shares in Coca Cola worth 17 billion dollars and Kraft-Heinz worth 29 billion dollars.

For the Gates Foundation, this means that the more profits the aforementioned companies make, the more money it can spend on the WHO. For the WHO, in turn, this means that any action taken by the WHO against the harmful activities of the soft drinks, alcohol and

pharmaceutical industries would prevent the Gates Foundation from generating donations for the WHO. In short, the World Health Organisation is caught in a classic conflict of interest that restricts its ability to act and is almost impossible to resolve given its financial dependence on the Gates Foundation." This means that the WHO is financed by business profits from Big Food and Big Pharma.

Bill Gates additionally finances trans-Atlantic think tanks such as Chatham House and the Council on Foreign Relations, and he has donated to the RKI and Charité. Bill Gates is also the main founder and supporter of the CEPI vaccination coalition. All of this is common knowledge. Pfizer and BioNTech were financed by Bill Gates with 50 million in 2019.

s. <https://www.gatesfoundation.org/about/committed-grants>

In order to carry out proper lobbying, Bill Gates gave the most important leading media a total of 24 million dollars in support in 2016 alone. One example of this is *Der Spiegel* in Germany, which has received money from Bill Gates on several occasions. In 2020, it received 2.3 million Euros.

s. <https://www.spiegel.de/backstage/fragen-und-antworten-zur-foerderung-durch-die-bill-and-melinda-gates-stiftung-a-dac661f6-210a-4616-b2d2-88917210fed4>

Ursula von der Leyen, President of the European Commission, negotiated secret contracts with the pharmaceutical company Pfizer for the supply of Covid vaccines. Her main negotiating partner was Albert Bourla, Chairman and CEO of Pfizer. The negotiations were mainly conducted via telephone and via text messaging. Ursula von der Leyen even sealed the billion-euro vaccine deal via text messaging - thereby also securing the quasi-monopoly for the pharmaceutical company.

No other pharmaceutical company in the world has been reprimanded by authorities and courts for its business practices as often as Pfizer. According to Nigerian authorities and various organisations, Pfizer tested the now banned antibiotic Trovafloxacin (Trovan) on around 200 children from the Kano area (Nigeria) in 1996. A minimum of five children died, but, according to the Kano authorities, over 50 children died of adverse effects of the drug, which had not yet been tested on humans, while many others suffered mental and physical damage. Numerous children were denied access to a proven effective drug within this study. On 2 September 2009, the company confirmed payment of 2.3 billion dollars, thereby ending a dispute it had with the US government over unfair advertising practices.

Internal Pfizer documents show that different substances were tested as part of the authorisation study for the Covid vaccines than were later administered to the population.

s. <https://www.bmj.com/content/378/bmj.o1731/rr-2>

According to these documents, there were two fundamentally different manufacturing processes. Pfizer refers to the two processes internally as "Process 1" and "Process 2". "Process 1" is the process used to manufacture the vaccines that were injected into the 22,000 test subjects during the authorisation procedure. The data of these test subjects was used as a foundation to make statements about the efficacy and adverse effects of the injections. For the

global rollout, however, a completely different manufacturing process, "Process 2", was used. The critical point here is that the substances manufactured and marketed worldwide using "Process 2" have a dramatically different efficacy and safety profile than the "Process 1" vaccines used to gain authorisation. The second process was developed from scratch, is fraught with many uncertainties and risks, and its product has been administered to almost the entire world population. According to Pfizer's own declarations, the vaccines produced using "Process 2" cause 40 per cent more severe adverse effects. The regulatory authorities should have intervened and brought this to an immediate halt by now. The contamination of the vaccines with DNA, which can be attributed to a lack of safety precautions in the vaccine manufacturing process, has now been confirmed by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) as well as by the Canadian health authorities. This means that the vaccines present a high risk for the general population.

Meanwhile, the US state of Texas is suing Pfizer for not telling the truth about its Covid-19 vaccines. Attorney General Ken Paxton in his lawsuit called Pfizer's claim of the vaccine being 95 percent effective *"highly misleading. . . In fact, Pfizer's product failed to deliver what the company had promised. COVID-19 cases increased after widespread administration of the vaccine, and in some areas, the percentage of COVID-19 deaths among the vaccinated population was higher than among the unvaccinated population."* The Texas Attorney General additionally points out: *"Pfizer censored individuals who threatened to spread the truth in order to facilitate the rapid launch of the product and expand its commercial potential."* Paxton continues, *"We strive for justice for the people of Texas, many of whom have been forced by tyrannical vaccination regulations to take a flawed product sold with lies."* He concludes: *"While the Biden administration used the pandemic as a weapon to impose illegal health regulations on the public and enrich the pharmaceutical companies, I will use all means at my disposal to protect our citizens who have been misled and harmed by Pfizer's actions."*

s. <https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/news/releases/attorney-general-ken-paxton-sues-pfizer-misrepresenting-covid-19-vaccine-efficacy-and-conspiring>

Reasoning

The declaration of a pandemic on 11 March 2020 by the WHO Director-General, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, enabled the pharmaceutical company Pfizer/BioNTech and its shareholders, including Bill Gates, to make a certain profit at the expense of EU citizens. The largest purchase agreement in the EU was settled, which has already washed 35 billion euros into the pockets of the pharmaceutical company with the first two agreements alone.

The Advance Purchase Agreement between Pfizer/BioNTech and the EU was signed by Nanette Cocero, Global President (Vaccines) at Pfizer and Stella Kyriakides, EU Commissioner for Health, on behalf of the EU countries on 20 November 2020 (see image below plus page 28).

SENSITIVE

SIGNATURES

For the Contractor,

Nanette Cocero

Global President, Vaccines,
Pfizer Biopharmaceuticals Group, Pfizer Inc.

Signature: 

Done at 20 of November, 2020

For the Commission, on behalf and in the
name of the Participating Member States,

Stella Kyriakides

Commissioner of Health and Food Safety

Signature: 

Done at ,

In duplicate in English.

The first page of the advance purchase agreement:



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
Directorate-General for Health and Food Safety

ADVANCE PURCHASE AGREEMENT (“APA”)¹ for the development, production, priority-purchasing options and supply of a successful COVID-19 vaccine for EU Member States

SANTE/2020/C3/043 - SI2.838335

1. **The European Commission**, acting on behalf and in the name of the Member States set out in Annex III (hereinafter referred to as “Participating Member States”),²:

being represented for the purposes of the signature of this APA by Ms Stella Kyriakides, Commissioner of Health and Food Safety

on the one part and

2. **Pfizer Inc.**

Incorporated in Delaware (Registration Number 0383418) with its registered address at 235 East 42nd Street, 10017 New York City, NY (UNITED STATES)

appointed as the leader of the group by the members of the group that submitted the joint tender (hereinafter referred to as “Pfizer”)

and

BioNTech Manufacturing GmbH

Registered with the commercial register of the lower court (*Amtsgericht*) of Mainz, Germany under HRB 47548, with its registered address at An der Goldgrube 12, 55131 MAINZ, GERMANY

(hereinafter referred to as “BioNTech”)

as a member of the group (collectively “the Contractor”), represented for the purposes of the signature of this APA which has the form of a framework contract by Nanette Cocero, President of Vaccines, Pfizer Inc.

on the other part,

The entire contract can be found under this link:

https://www.rai.it/dl/doc/2021/04/17/1618676600910_APA%20BioNTech%20Pfizer__.pdf

With the pre-purchase agreement finalised on 20 November 2020, the EU agreed to a conditional marketing authorisation weeks before the vaccine was authorised by the EMA, the European Medicines Agency. In Chapter I "Special Conditions" page 6, the Commission confirms and agrees that the contractor (Pfizer/BioNTech) is **not** required to act to the best of

its knowledge or to due diligence. The contractor (Pfizer/BioNTech) is not obliged to take any measures that are disadvantageous to it in order to fulfil this "Best Reasonable Efforts" standard.

The contractor (Pfizer/BioNTech) recognises the Commission's request and is willing to provide the vaccine without the best standard for the purpose of fighting the pandemic.

Chapter I Article 2. Definitions - Page 5

'Best Reasonable Efforts':.... „The Commission acknowledges and agrees, and Best Reasonable Efforts does not require, that the Contractor be obliged to take any action prejudicial to the Contractor to meet such “Best Reasonable Efforts” Standard, and the Contractor in turn acknowledges and shares the Commission’s desire that the Vaccine be made available to help address the pandemic;“

It was even "assumed" by both contracting parties that the EMA would authorise the vaccine by 15 December 2020 without objections.

Chapter I Article 6.3 (ii) - Page 13

„Subject to points (i) to (v) below, it is estimated that the order will be delivered as set out in the table below (the “Interim Delivery Schedule”) assuming Authorisation being granted by 15 December 2020.“

When the vaccine was authorised, the "usual" , the standard procedure was not adhered to. The vaccine was not tested, was not independently examined by the EMA, only then authorised and only subsequently sold. The process happened back to front: the politicians, represented by the EU Commission, and the pharmaceutical industry finalised sales contracts weeks before the vaccine was authorised, with a conditional marketing authorisation, and the EMA delivered the desired authorisation in time.

On page 15, the EU Commission recognises and even agrees that the contractor's (BioNTech/Pfizer) efforts to develop and manufacture the vaccine are ambitious in nature and therefore subject to significant risks and uncertainties.

Chapter I Article 6.7 Waiver - Page 15

„The Commission acknowledges and agrees that the Contractor’s efforts to develop and manufacture the Vaccine are aspirational in nature and subject to significant risks and uncertainties.“

The EU Commission has thus recognised the high risks and uncertainties in the production of the vaccine whilst simultaneously accepting the shortcomings surrounding this vaccine’s production. These shortcomings are now well known: The Biontech/Pfizer vaccine contains fluctuating amounts of intact vaccine and even of DNA, which should never have happened and can lead to serious medical consequences. And it is also known that individual vaccine batches differ significantly in terms of vaccination adverse effects and ensuing fatalities. The quality of the vaccine production was not secured from the beginning.

On page 24, the EU Commission declares on behalf of the EU Member States that the use of vaccines produced under this Advance Purchase Agreement, as well as their authorisation, will take place under epidemic conditions that demand such use. The administration of the vaccine is the sole responsibility of the EU Member States.

Chapter I Article 12 Indemnification/12. 1 - Page 24

„The Commission, on behalf of the Participating Member States, declares that the use of Vaccines produced under this APA will happen under epidemic conditions requiring such use, and that the administration of Vaccines will therefore be conducted under the sole responsibility of the Participating Member States.“

The EU Commission and BioNTech/Pfizer thus deny any responsibility for the use of the vaccine. The responsibility was transferred to the EU member states without asking these first. The pharmaceutical companies and the EU are therefore not liable for anything.

On page 25, the EU Commission and BioNTech/Pfizer even specify which vaccine injuries fall under the pharmaceutical company's indemnity. These are: death, physical injury, mental or emotional harm, illness, disability, loss of or damage to property, economic loss or business interruption.

Chapter I Article 12 Indemnification/12. 2 - Page 25

„Indemnification pursuant to Article 1.12.1 will only be available for the following losses suffered by a third party: death, physical injury, mental or emotional injury, illness, disability, property loss or damage, economic losses or business interruption.“

Even before the vaccine was authorised, both the pharmaceutical company as well as the EU Commission were exempted from any liability. The EU Commission agreed to the definition of vaccine injury and naturally accepted future fatalities, disability, illness and economic damage caused by vaccinations. It needs to be remembered that this was a medical intervention intended for application on **healthy** people. The APA was an EU framework contract. The individual orders were then placed with BioNTech/Pfizer by the individual member states in a separate contract, which was already specified in the advance purchase agreement in Annex I under the title "Vaccine Order Form" (see pages 47-56).

ANNEX I: VACCINE ORDER FORM

This Vaccine Order Form is submitted by:

[The Government of [•]] (the “**Participating Member State**”), represented for the purposes of signing this Vaccine Order Form by *[forename, surname, function, department of authorising officer]*,

to:

[Add details for Contractor]

The Participating Member State and Contractor are together referred to as the “**Parties**” and each individually as a “**Party**”.

WHEREAS

- Contractor and the European Commission, acting on behalf of and in the name of the Participating Member States, entered into an Advance Purchase Agreement for the purchase and supply of Contractor’s Vaccine for EU Member States dated [•] 2020 (the “**APA**”), the terms of which are binding on the Participating Member States and must be read in conjunction with this Vaccine Order Form.
- The APA provides that each Participating Member State will submit to Contractor a Vaccine Order Form through which Contractor shall make available and deliver to the relevant Participating Member State a proportion of the Contracted Doses or Additional Order as applicable, in accordance with the allocation provided by the Commission pursuant to Article I.6.3 of the APA and at the price and conditions as set out in the APA.
- In accordance with Article I.5.2 of the APA, the [name of Participating Member State]

This meant that not only the EU finalised a contract with Pfizer/BioNTech, but all 27 member states automatically became contractual partners too, once they signed the vaccine order form. The EU ordered the vaccines for the entire EU. Each state then purchased the vaccines at its own discretion, but all conditions of the advance purchase agreement became immediately legally binding for each member state with the signing of the "Vaccine Order Form". By placing their order, they agreed that all contractual conditions would be enforceable against them for the duration of the advance purchase agreement.

Annex I: Vaccine Order Form/ Article I. 3 (b) - Page 48

„the provisions of the APA are enforceable against it in accordance with its term“

Furthermore, the individual EU member states confirm with the order contracts negotiated by the EU that the long-term effects and efficacy of the vaccine are unknown and that unknown adverse effects may occur. The governments of the EU member states were thus not only fully aware that the safety and efficacy of the vaccine were unknown, but they even explicitly accepted this with their signatures. At the same time, the participating member states also recognised the fact that the vaccine cannot be mass-produced. They therefore inadvertently also agreed to the supply of different batches with different quantities of the active ingredient.

Annex I: Vaccine Order Form/ Article I. 4 - Page 48

„The Participating Member State acknowledges that the Vaccine and materials related to the Vaccine, and their components and constituent materials are being rapidly developed due to the emergency circumstances of the COVID-19 pandemic and will continue to be studied after Provision of the Vaccine to the Participating Member States under the APA. The Participating Member State further acknowledges that the long-term effects and efficacy of the Vaccine are not currently known and that there may be adverse effects of the Vaccine that are not currently known. Further, to the extent applicable, the Participating Member State acknowledges that the Vaccine shall not be serialized.“

Although the EU Member States were aware of the uncertainty and associated risk, they committed to ensuring that all nationally required permits and authorisations were obtained before the date of the specified fulfilment of the advance purchase agreement by the Member States. This served the purpose of fulfilling any obligations of the agreement.

Annex I: Vaccine Order Form/ Article I. 5 - Page 49

„The Participating Member State represents and warrants that all necessary permissions and approvals have been or will be obtained prior to the time for performance by the Participating Member State, to authorise performance of all of the obligations contained herein.“

Conclusion

The "vaccines" were authorised on the basis of falsified data, which has since been made public. Not only was Pfizer's "study" unblinded prematurely, thereby eliminating the control group, thus removing the possibility of generating data on long-term damage or injury. In addition, several whistleblowers revealed multiple frauds in Pfizer's data. Janine Small, a high-ranking Pfizer employee, confessed to the EU Parliament at a hearing on 10 October 2022 that the vaccines had never been tested to determine whether they prevent infection.

s. https://multimedia.europarl.europa.eu/en/video/lessons-learned-and-recommendations-for-the-future-extracts-from-the-exchange-of-views-ep-special-committee-on-the-covid-19-pandemic_1231213

Following a January 2022 court order by US District Judge Mark Pittman of the Northern District of Texas, the FDA (US Food and Drug Administration) was ordered to release around 12,000 Pfizer/BioNTech documents immediately, and then 55,000 pages per month until all documents have ultimately been released - a total of more than 300,000 pages. 46 reports of the documents released by pharmaceutical giant Pfizer about its COVID vaccines have already been analysed by experts and were published as a book entitled "PFIZER DOCUMENTS ANALYSIS REPORTS" in January 2023.

s. https://www.amazon.de/DailyClout-Documents-Analysis-Volunteers-Reports-ebook/dp/B0BSK6LV5D/ref=sr_1_1?__mk_de_DE=%C3%85M%C3%85%C5%BD%C3%95%C3%91&crd=7YP67WJM8C9D&keywords=War+Room+%2F+DailyClout+Pfizer+Documents+Analysis+Volunteers%E2%80%99+Reports+eBook&qid=1677936464&srefix=war+room+%2F+dailyclout+pfizer+documents+analysis+volunteers+reports+ebook%2Caps%2C72&sr=8-1

These 46 documents that have been analysed prove that injury occurred immediately after introduction of the vaccines and that Pfizer/BioNTech knew from the beginning that the mRNA vaccines did not achieve any of the desired results at all. Contrary to the public statements made by Pfizer/BioNTech and the FDA, both knew the data showing that the vaccine components travel from the injection site through the bloodstream, cross important blood-organ barriers (including in the brain, testes and ovaries) and continue to produce harmful spike proteins indefinitely.

During the first 12 weeks of the real-world rollout of the COVID-19 vaccine, from 1 December 2020 to 28 February 2021, there were already more than 158,000 individual reports of adverse outcomes. Pfizer/BioNTech had to hire additional staff to analyse the reports, and yet they were unable to conclusively determine vaccine injury. The full extent of the disaster is adequately explained in the book's foreword.

s. <https://dailyclout.io/foreword-to-the-amazon-kindle-version-of-the-war-room-dailyclout-pfizer-documents-analysis-reports/>

The WHO's statements about the efficacy of the vaccines were increasingly doubted in 2021, as was the reliability of Pfizer's research data:

May: 95% protection

June: 70 % protection

July: 50 % protection

August: Does not protect against infection, but reduces the spread

September: Does not reduce the spread, but prevents severe cases

October: Does not prevent severe cases, but reduces intensive care cases

November: Does not reduce hospitalisations, but reduces deaths

The vaccine recommendations given by the German Robert Koch Institute under the leadership of Lothar Wieler ran along similar lines. The widespread administration of Pfizer/BioNTech's controversial and experimental gene injections thanks to an "emergency use authorisation / conditional marketing authorisation" by the health authorities is increasingly turning out to be a global experiment on humans. The Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine contains fluctuating amounts of intact vaccine and even of DNA, which obviously should not be the case and can lead to serious medical consequences. It is now known that individual vaccine batches differ significantly in terms of vaccination adverse effects and ensuing fatalities. The quality of vaccine production was and is by no means given.

A Danish study investigated the different batches of the Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine (BNT162b2) administered in Denmark at the beginning of the vaccination campaign, and discovered significant differences in reported adverse effects between the various batches. The Danish study was published as a Research Letter on 30 March 2023.

s. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/eci.13998>

The yellow batches (see graph in the published Research Letter), were the batches with few to hardly any reported adverse effects, accounting for 30 % of the vaccination volume in Denmark administered during the time period specified in the study. The green batches, however, account for over 60 %. These are not risk-free, and some of these batches also produced considerable adverse effects. The blue batches are highly dangerous, accounting for less than 5%, but are associated with the most serious adverse effects and fatalities. These dangerous blue batches were also administered in other European countries - spread over a wide area and over time. They have not been withdrawn from circulation, despite them being associated with significant adverse effects and high mortality.

No member state of the European Union is permitted to take lives. Not only is this prohibited by the General Human Rights, but also by the Charta of the Fundamental Rights of the European Union (GrCH, Article 1, 2, and 3). People may die as a result of disease, but the EU member states are prohibited from putting to use such vaccines that may directly or indirectly result in the death of the recipient.

On 27 March 2021, the WHO's list of possible adverse effects already comprised 124 pages. On 16 October 2022, the number of adverse effects recorded in the WHO database amounted to 4,529,651 reports. As of 13 August 2023, there are already 10,056,889 individual reports. 10,056,889 illnesses have occurred after COVID-19 vaccination in those affected.

s. <https://www.vigiaccess.org/>

A study by the MHRA (Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency/UK) shows the extent of the disaster. The data presented displays a maximum of 10% of the extent of the disaster. According to studies conducted by the British MHRA, serious illness and injury are only actually reported to databases such as the WHO in around 10% of cases: *"It is estimated that only 10% of serious reactions and between 2% and 4% of non-serious reactions are reported. Under-reporting coupled with a decline in reporting makes it especially important to report all suspicions of adverse drug reactions to the Yellow Card Scheme."*

s. <https://www.gov.uk/drug-safety-update/yellow-card-please-help-to-reverse-the-decline-in-reporting-of-suspected-adverse-drug-reactions>

On the information leaflet for vaccination (basic immunisation and booster vaccinations) against COVID-19 with mRNA vaccines, as of 5 October 2023, death was also included among the rare adverse effects in Germany. On the fifth page in the 2nd paragraph under "Rare adverse effects" it says: "Individual people died." The information leaflet was produced by Deutsches Grünes Kreuz e.V., Marburg, in cooperation with the Robert Koch Institute, Berlin.

s. https://www.rki.de/DE/Content/Infekt/Impfen/Materialien/Downloads-COVID-19/Aufklaerungsbogen-de.pdf?__blob=publicationFile

Please bear in mind that these 10 million adverse effects are only a fraction of the adverse effects that are ever reported, and it has to be taken into account that the WHO's VigiAccess is

only one of several databases that are maintained independently of each other. Other "cases" are listed with the US CDC, the European EMA or the British MHRA. Considering these facts, one cannot help but regard the current experiments on living humans as one of the greatest health disasters in human history.

In November 2023, BioNTech submitted its financial performance report up to 30 September 2023 to the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). On page 79 of the report, BioNTech has to admit that it has received many product liability lawsuits against its COVID-19 vaccine and that it expects even more lawsuits: *"We are exposed to an inherent product liability risk"*.

„We face an inherent risk of product liability exposure related to the testing of any of our current or future product candidates in clinical trials, and an even greater risk related to any commercialized products, such as our COVID-19 vaccine. We have received product liability claims against our COVID-19 vaccine, and expect to receive additional product liability claims in the future. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against claims that our product candidates caused injuries, we could incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

- *decreased demand for any product candidate that we may develop;*
- *loss of revenue;*
- *substantial monetary awards to patients, healthy volunteers or their children;*
- *significant time and costs to defend the related litigation;*
- *withdrawal of clinical trial participants;*
- *the inability to commercialize any product candidates that we may develop; and*
- *injury to our reputation and significant negative media attention.“*

s. <https://investors.biontech.de/static-files/91e95810-e61c-4e25-b308-50b8224b5255>

Pfizer has already fell into conflict with the UK Prescription Medicines Code of Practice Authority (PMCPA) on several occasions. At the beginning of 2024, the company was reproached for the sixth time for "misleading and illegally advertising" the COVID-19 vaccine. The judgement also states that Pfizer "misused social media", "brought the industry into disrepute" and advertised an „unapproved" vaccine. The PMCPA also found that Pfizer shared information about the efficacy of its vaccine without adequate safety information. In addition, the lack of information about possible "side effects" was also criticised.

Pfizer was acknowledges reproach and announced that the company fully recognizes and accept the reprimand. In the UK Pfizer has been a notorious offender for so long repeatedly illegally advertising its vaccines. In the best known case of high profile, the PMCPA accused CEO Albert Bourla for making "misleading" statements about the COVID-19 childhood vaccine.

s. <https://reitschuster.de/post/pfizer-erhaelt-ruege-wegen-irrefuehrender-und-illegaler-werbung-fuer-impfstoff/>

All individuals named as perpetrators on page 1 of this document have contributed to the realisation of this medical experiment in accordance with their sphere of influence. At the same

time, they undertook and continue to undertake everything in their power to deny responsibility for the expected damage, instead passing the responsibility on to others.

In detail:

1. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus has been Director-General of the World Health Organisation since 2017. It is well known that Tedros enjoys immunity as Director-General of the WHO, but any immunity is waived if one has participated in genocide and crimes against humanity.

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus joined the communist Tigrayan People's Liberation Front (TPLF) party in Ethiopia back in 1991. As a member of the TPLF party, he was first Minister of Health and then Foreign Minister of his country. Since 1976, the TPLF has been listed as a terrorist organisation in the Global Terrorism Database because it was involved in numerous kidnappings and hostage-takings. The TPLF committed the most serious human rights violations during its almost 30 years in power. As a high-ranking member of the politbureau and long-standing TPLF minister, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus carries shared responsibility for these crimes. The official annual reports of the human rights organisations Amnesty International and Human Rights Watch (HRW) from 2005 - 2016 documented unimaginable atrocities committed by the Ethiopian government under Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus.

- 2006: Arrest of 76 opposition politicians and journalists. Numerous cases of torture and imprisonment - even of schoolchildren - by government authorities
- 2007: Government troops burn down entire villages, carry out public executions, rape women and girls, arbitrarily arrest suspects, torturing and killing them in many cases. Thousands are forced to flee their homes.
- 2008: Government troops carry out mass arrests, torture, rape, extrajudicial executions, and raid a mosque, killing 21 people, seven of whom have their throats slit
- 2009: Human rights situation continues to deteriorate. According to Human Rights Watch, new laws on civil society are *"the most restrictive of all comparable laws in the world"*.
- 2010: Newspapers are closed, with editors fleeing in fear. Ruling party wins parliamentary elections with 99.6 % of the vote. These cannot be considered free elections at all.
- 2011: Amnesty International delegation is expelled from Ethiopia.
- 2012: Even after the death of ruler Meles, who led the Ethiopian regime from 1995 to 2012 and also appointed Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus as a minister, the human rights situation in Ethiopia did not improve at all.
- 2013: The Africa Report states that Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus rose to become one of the three most influential politicians of the TPLF after the death of Meles.
- 2014: The government regularly monitors phone calls. Under the pretext of improving basic services, up to 1.5 million villagers in rural areas are to be forcibly relocated.
- 2015: Amnesty reports the most severe forms of torture such as burnings and electric shocks in local police stations and regional prisons.
- 2016: Human Rights Watch reports that Ethiopian security forces killed more than 500 largely peaceful protesters in the Oromia and Amhara regions.

According to the British news portal The Expose, Tedros not only covered up cholera epidemics in Ethiopia when he was health minister, he was also complicit in the genocidal blockade of food and medicine for the Somali population in the Ogaden for years. He was involved in expelling the Red Cross and Médecins Sans Frontières out of the Ogaden during a series of cholera outbreaks, causing the deaths of countless people during a series of historic famines and epidemics.

The current Ethiopian Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed, who was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 2019, confirmed the reports by Human Rights Watch and Amnesty before the Ethiopian parliament following the peaceful transfer of power in 2018. He described the actions of his predecessor government as terrorism. According to a report by the British news portal *"The Expose"*, the TPLF, which ruled from 1991 to 2018, was *"one of the most corrupt, most brutal and most genocidal regimes to take root on this planet in the last 30 years"*. As a leading member of this regime, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus was an accomplice! The American economist David Steinmann, who was nominated for the Nobel Peace Prize in 2019, filed a lawsuit against Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus at the International Criminal Court in The Hague at the end of 2020. He accused Ghebreyesus of genocide in Ethiopia because Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus was one of three key decision-makers for "ethnic cleansing". Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus was responsible for countless imprisonments, tortures and murders of Amharas, Konsos, Oromos and Somali tribes.

s. https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/world_report_download/wr2016_web.pdf and <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/pol10/4800/2017/en/>

Despite this past, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus was elected Director-General of the WHO in 2017. Global strategists closely intertwined with him helped him rise to become head of the WHO. In March 2020, he declared the Covid pandemic. During the Covid crisis, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus caused almost everyone's freedom to be severely restricted, owing to his pandemic guidelines. His close collaboration with the key players and beneficiaries of the Covid crisis has been thoroughly documented.

s. <https://clubderklarenworte.de/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Netzwerkanalyse-Corona-Komplex.pdf>

The WHO and its donors have been working on a pandemic treaty, as well as on amendments to the International Health Regulations (IHR). If these changes materialise and a pandemic treaty is adopted, the WHO or the WHO Director-General himself, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, will effectively be given omnipotence.

According to the plans of the WHO's donors, the WHO, currently an advisory organisation without democratic legitimacy, is to become a legally binding global governing body through amendments to the existing International Health Regulations (IHR) and the new pandemic treaty. As soon as these treaties are signed and ratified, the WHO will have the power to act as a global health dictatorship. This non-elected institution will be able to decide independently, without any prior consultation with its member states, what is to be considered a pandemic or

what constitutes a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) and what does not.

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus would be given the power to declare a global health emergency with only a potential danger present, and thus, for example, prescribe mandatory medication for treatment, make vaccination compulsory, introduce mandatory test certificates, etc.. All measures adopted would be binding for all member states. All issued measures would be legally binding and would have to be implemented by all member states.

Tedros already demonstrated his high-handed approach to the world on 23 July 2022. He unceremoniously declared the monkeypox outbreak a public health emergency of international concern, even though nine out of 15 experts had voted against it. In a similarly dictatorial manner, Tedros might issue regulations based on the amended IHR and the new pandemic treaty that would far outshine the massive coronavirus restrictions on freedom.

Should these treaties be adopted, none of these measures (pharmaceutical interventions and non-pharmaceutical interventions) will require any independent and comprehensive proportionality assessments in accordance with the rule of law. Additionally it is not the rule of law and democracy alone which can be disregarded by the WHO, but also human rights (see Universal Declaration of Human Rights) can be utterly ignored by these treaties, in particular the right to physical integrity and self-determination in regards to one's own health. Taking legal action against this would then be impossible because there will be no authorized court to address such issues. Ergo: There will be a lack of effective constitutional control, safety and protective mechanisms to review, revise or terminate implemented measures if the appropriateness is not given.

With the declaration of the COVID pandemic on 11 March 2020 and his constant restrictive recommendations during the pandemic, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus created the conditions necessary for the rapid approval of the vaccines from Pfizer/BionTech and others, and the subsequent vaccination campaign. He thus carries the core responsibility for the rapid deployment of experimental vaccines with unknown risks. Offences of "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be clearly identified as a result. This man may now suddenly be granted omnipotence through the new WHO contracts. It was his recommendations which made it possible for vaccines with unknown risks to be introduced so quickly.

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus subjected the Earth's population to a medical experiment with his recommendations, causing numerous people to die or suffer permanent damage. With his actions Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus committed violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes.

2. Albert Bourla DVM, Ph. D. joined Pfizer in 1993. In 2020, Albert Bourla assumed the additional position of CEO of Pfizer. In 2020, Albert Bourla pushed for an aggressive schedule in Pfizer's development of a potential vaccine against COVID-19. In March 2020, Pfizer decided to collaborate with BioNTech. Albert Bourla decided that large quantities of the vaccine candidate BNT162b2 should be produced at Pfizer's own risk even prior to authorisation by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Under his leadership, numerous errors arose in ongoing

production and analysis of the data surrounding the vaccine candidate BNT162b2. After a disastrous trial phase, he succeeded in marketing the vaccine to the EU as a successful model, free from any liability, and with false information on the safety, quality and efficacy of the vaccine. The public were deliberately misled through false declarations. The original data analyses of the experimental phase of the vaccine had to be litigated. Not only was Albert Bourla in the position of CEO responsible with due diligence for the correct manufacturing of the vaccine and for a clean data analysis, but also for fair marketing. Albert Bourla played the key role in the negotiations on the pre-purchase agreement between the EU and Pfizer/BioNTech.

He is therefore largely responsible for the numerous injuries caused by the vaccine from Pfizer/BioNTech. During the first 12 weeks of the introduction of the COVID-19 vaccine, from 1 December 2020 to 28 February 2021, Pfizer/BioNTech already received over 158,000 individual reports of severe adverse outcomes. Albert Bourla should at that point have stopped production immediately, as well as giving up any further marketing of the vaccine. He is primarily responsible for any damage and injuries caused. Due to the continued production and marketing of this unsafe vaccine, there is reason to believe that violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes have been and are being committed.

3. Klaus Cichutek was President of the German Paul-Ehrlich-Institute from 2009 to 2023. The Paul-Ehrlich-Institute is responsible for the approval and release of batches of medicinal products at national level. The task of the institute is to check the safety of medicinal products. The decisions of the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute on the safety and quality of a medicinal product bear strong relevance for the decision-making of other EU member states. Its authorisations are valid for Europe as a whole. The vaccine Comirnaty (BNT162b2) by BioNtech/Pfizer was given a conditional marketing authorisation in Germany on 21 December 2020 for use in individuals aged 16 years and above. Subsequently, the conditional marketing authorisation of the vaccine Comirnaty (BNT162b2) in other EU countries followed swiftly.

With its pioneering role, it was the duty of the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute from the beginning to constantly check the quality of batches of COVID-19 vaccines in order to ensure continuous and systematic monitoring of the safety of these vaccines. It was the Danish study mentioned earlier in this document which first revealed that there must have been different batches producing different adverse effects. It has since become known that the batches contain significant impurities. The contamination of vaccines with foreign substances (DNA-containing fragments/bacterial plasmids), which may be due to a lack of safety precautions in the vaccine manufacturing process, has now been confirmed by both the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the Canadian Health Agency. These problems with batches have so far evidently not been of sufficient relevance for the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute to withdraw this vaccine from the market.

s. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AdWAu6f-mxQ>

The German organisation Physicians For Individual Immunisation Decision e. V. enquired on 27 September 2023 if the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute had tested the BioNTech/Pfizer and Moderna batches for impurities (DNA-containing fragments/bacterial plasmids). On 19 October 2023, the institute replied that the Official Medicines Control Laboratory, OMCL, is responsible for the official batch testing of the respective vaccine products in Europe. Their reply also states: *"The Paul-Ehrlich-Institute is an official control laboratory in the European OMCL network and has tested most of the batches of the COVID-19 vaccine product Comirnaty (in all indications and concentrations) approved by the European Commission in accordance with the OMCL guidelines and approval requirements and, if necessary, granted the batch to be released for Germany. The batches tested and approved by the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute presented no reasons for objections. For this reason no measures, such as additional safety precautions, had to be undertaken. Batches that do not meet all criteria will not be released for the German market. . . . All batches of COVID-19 vaccines tested so far at the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute have met the required specifications and have thus been released. In general, all COVID-19 vaccines available in Germany require a batch release by the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute."* The Paul-Ehrlich-Institute neither detected any variation in batches (see Danish study) nor any impurities.

s. https://individuelle-impfentscheidung.de/fileadmin/PDF/PEI_Antwort_geschw.pdf

The injuries that have occurred in Germany, in part documented by numerous claims for compensation, and the many treatments of the injured in medical surgeries, prove that the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute under the leadership of Klaus Cichutek has failed to fulfil its obligations. The batches were not properly tested, and continued and systematic monitoring of the safety of the vaccines was not given. Klaus Cichutek is largely responsible for the numerous injuries and fatalities caused by the vaccine from Pfizer/BioNTech and other manufacturers. **14,027** severe adverse reactions, **926** fatalities due to Comirnaty, and **299** due to Spikevax, Vaxzevria and Janssen were reported to the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute in the time period between 27 December 2020 and 31 July 2021 alone. Cichutek should have stopped the administering of the vaccines with immediate effect. Klaus Cichutek is responsible for the administration of highly harmful batches to vaccine recipients in Germany and in other EU countries. These batches were not detected by his institute. This can thus be considered a genocide.

s. https://www.pei.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/newsroom/dossiers/sicherheitsberichte/sicherheitsbericht-27-12-bis-31-07-21.pdf?__blob=publicationFile

At the beginning of the vaccination campaign, in February 2021, the media reported that the Covid vaccines were being produced with the assistance of bacteria. At the time, a spokesman for the pharmaceutical industry appeared on the German television channel ARD, explaining that the RNA duplicated by bacteria was initially surrounded by *"DNA and many other enzymes and other factors"* and therefore had to be *"super-purified first"* of these undesirable bacterial substances. *Der Spiegel* also explained the process at the time, reassuring: *"The state-run Paul-Ehrlich-Institute checks random samples and monitors the production sites."*

s. <https://www.spiegel.de/wirtschaft/corona-impfstoff-von-biontech-so-wird-der-mrna-impfstoff-produziert-a-033a1979-80d1-4c20-9fc7-563e17fe0c61>

The Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, headed by Klaus Cichutek, did not examine the batches with due diligence, and it ignored the recorded fatalities and adverse effects. The Paul Ehrlich Institute (PEI) under the leadership of Klaus Cichutek, has failed to fulfil its legal duty by omitting the inclusion of health insurance data in pharmacovigilance.

s. https://www.achgut.com/artikel/sabotiert_das_paul_ehrlich_institut_seinen_gesetzlichen_auftrag

The safety report of the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut (PEI) from 7th September 2022 already contains 20 times more reports of suspected side effects and 23 times more fatalities following COVID vaccinations (time period 2000-2020) compared to all other classic vaccines. 323,684 COVID vaccination adverse events and 3,023 fatalities were officially registered with the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut up until 30th June 2022.

s. <https://www.transparenztest.de/post/pei-bericht-323684-covid-impf-nebenwirkungen-und-3023-todesfaelle>

To this day, the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut (PEI) does not recognise this as a 'safety signal'. Klaus Cichutek has given permission to people being used as 'guinea pigs', by subjecting them to an experimental method and substance, in order to supposedly protect them from a generally moderate disease.

Ergo: The Paul Ehrlich Institute under the leadership of Klaus Cichutek failed to examine batches and it ignored recorded fatalities and adverse events. Even the conditional marketing authorisation of these 'vaccines' should not have been granted at such a premature stage. Klaus Cichutek is responsible for this gross negligence on the part of his institute. Due diligence was omitted. Cichutek acted at least conditionally wilfully, accepting criminally relevant consequences (vaccine injuries and fatalities), if not consciously and with intent.

The criminal offences of "crimes against humanity" and "genocide" can be clearly deduced from this. The resulting damage is so grave that it is likely to destroy the population in whole or in part, as further fatalities and long-term damage are to be expected. Klaus Cichutek committed violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes.

4. Emer Cooke has been the Director of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) since 2020. The EMA is an agency of the European Union responsible for the evaluation and monitoring of medicinal products. The EMA is responsible for maintaining and promoting public health in the European Union (EU). It coordinates the evaluation, scientific monitoring and safety review of all medicinal products for human and veterinary use and plays a key role in the authorisation of medicinal products in the European Union and in the EEA countries. The central role of the EMA in the EU means that vaccines are first authorised at EU level.

The EMA received the application for the conditional marketing authorisation of the COVID-19 mRNA vaccine (BNT162b2) from Pfizer/BioNTech on 1 December 2020. On 15 December 2020, the Agency's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) announced that it had been intensively reviewing the data submitted by BioNTech and Pfizer in the context of the conditional marketing authorisation application (CMA) for BNT162b2, a COVID-19 mRNA vaccine. They pointed out that the authorisation is subject to a robust and complete

assessment of quality, safety and efficacy. As soon as 21 December 2020 the conditional marketing authorisation of Comirnaty for the entire EU for citizens aged 16 and above was announced:

„Comirnaty is now authorised across the EU. This follows the granting of a conditional marketing authorisation by the European Commission on 21 December 2020.“

s. <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/ema-recommends-first-covid-19-vaccine-authorisation-eu>

The EMA website displays the following message:

“EMA has recommended granting a conditional marketing authorisation for the vaccine Comirnaty, developed by BioNTech and Pfizer, to prevent coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in people from 16 years of age. EMA’s scientific opinion paves the way for the first marketing authorisation of a COVID-19 vaccine in the EU by the European Commission, with all safeguards, controls and obligations this entails.

EMA’s human medicines committee (CHMP) has completed its rigorous evaluation of Comirnaty, concluding by consensus that sufficiently robust data on the quality, safety and efficacy of the vaccine are now available to recommend a formal conditional marketing authorisation. This will provide a controlled and robust framework to underpin EU-wide vaccination campaigns and protect EU citizens. “

Emer Cooke, Director of the EMA, commented on the conditional marketing authorisation of the Covid-19 mRNA vaccine Comirnaty as this being a milestone thanks to the commitment of scientists, doctors, developers and trial volunteers, as well as many experts from all EU Member States.

“Today’s positive news is an important step forward in our fight against this pandemic, which has caused suffering and hardship for so many,” said Emer Cooke, Executive Director of EMA. *“We have achieved this milestone thanks to the dedication of scientists, doctors, developers and trial volunteers as well as many experts from all EU Member States.“*

She went on to praise the thorough evaluation of the vaccine by the EMA, also reassuring EU citizens of the safety and efficacy of the vaccine, stressing that the conditional marketing authorised vaccine meets the necessary quality standards. She promised to continue to collect and analyse data on the safety and efficacy of the vaccine in order to protect vaccine recipients in the EU. The potential of mandatory vaccinations was not uttered, but was subsequently introduced by various means – directly or indirectly - in several EU countries.

“Our thorough evaluation means that we can confidently assure EU citizens of the safety and efficacy of this vaccine and that it meets necessary quality standards. However, our work does not stop here. We will continue to collect and analyse data on the safety and effectiveness of this vaccine to protect people taking the vaccine in the EU.”

Akin to the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, the EMA under the leadership of Emer Cooke did not conduct a thorough safety screening of the batches of Comirnaty and other COVID-19 vaccines that

were administered in the EU. The EU had after all accepted with the pre-purchase agreement that it will not receive batches of uniform quality. It was also accepted that the vaccine's efficacy and any adverse effects are unknown. The quality of vaccines received by EU citizens was of no interest. The full liability for any resulting damage was transferred to the EU Member States anyway. As a result, the EMA carried out its supervision of the quality, safety and efficacy of the vaccine without due diligence. Akin to the Paul-Ehrlich-Institute, the EMA also failed to detect that batches appear to have been of differing quality. This was only revealed later by the Danish study (see reference above), published in March 2023.

The highly harmful batches, as revealed by the Danish study, were provided by the EMA to the EU Member States and their administering has neither been stopped nor been withdrawn from the market. The EMA's prime duty and responsibility is to ensure the safety of EU citizens, and this has been utterly disregarded. The necessary security check was omitted. The core responsibility for this action of the EMA lies with the Director herself, Emer Cooke. Due to the failure of checking the batches according to due diligence, there is reason to believe that violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes have been and are being committed.

5. Nanette Cocero is currently a senior executive at HilleVax. HilleVax is a US biopharmaceutical company focused on the development and commercialisation of novel prospective vaccines. Nanette Cocero previously worked at Pfizer for 21 years. For her last four years at Pfizer, from January 2019 to December 2022, she was Global President of Pfizer Vaccines. She led this global business with a volume of over \$30 billion. Nanette Cocero was responsible for the development, global marketing and delivery of more than 2 billion doses of Pfizer/BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccine in 152 countries and territories worldwide.

Nanette Cocero, then Global President of Pfizer Vaccines, signed the pre-purchase agreement with the EU on behalf of Pfizer/BioNTech on 20 November 2020. As she was responsible for the development, global marketing and delivery of more than 2 billion doses of Pfizer/BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccine, she must have had some awareness of the serious problems with the BNT162b2 vaccine from the start. She should have taken note of the damage caused since vaccine introduction when more than 158,000 individual reports of severe injury were reported to Pfizer after the first 12 weeks of the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccine, from 1 December 2020 to 28 February 2021. *"More than 158 000 individual reports of severe outcomes"* is a significant number. As Cocero was responsible for the development and global marketing of the BNT162b2 vaccine, she is primarily responsible for the delivery of Pfizer/BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccine (BNT162b2) to 152 countries and territories worldwide, despite its enormous harmful effects. The rollout should have been stopped with immediate effect, and the EU needed to be informed of the adverse effects without delay. Instead, Nanette Cocero ensured that the vaccine, despite its severe adverse effects and its uncertainties, was delivered to 152 countries and territories worldwide. The vaccine rollout to several countries continues to this day.

By now, the risks posed by the vaccine have been proven by numerous studies, and several claims for compensation are pending. The scale of the catastrophe cannot yet be fully estimated. Nanette Cocero is responsible for the enormous damage, vaccine injuries and fatalities that have occurred in many countries. On the basis of the available information, there

is reason to believe that violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes have been committed. As a result of the finalised purchase contracts, the vaccine continues to be delivered, resulting in further fatalities and long-term injury.

6. William "Bill" Gates III is an American entrepreneur and co-chairman of the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. He co-founded Microsoft in 1975 with Paul Allen and is today considered one of the planet's richest people, with an estimated fortune of 109 billion US dollars. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation was founded in 2000. It was created by merging two previous foundations. Since its establishment 20 years ago, the Gates Foundation has invested more than \$20 billion in the development and distribution of vaccines, including more recently several vaccines against Covid-19. In addition to vaccine research, the Gates Foundation also makes donations to media companies in the US and Europe.

Bill Gates advocates for the interests of large pharmaceutical and agricultural companies. Gates has invested more than 20 billion US dollars in vaccines in recent years. These investments included AstraZeneca, Johnson & Johnson, Novavax and Sanofi, as well as the two RNA vaccines from Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna. His ties with pharmaceutical, agricultural and media companies and other NGOs are well documented.

s. <https://clubderklarenworte.de/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Netzwerkanalyse-Corona-Komplex.pdf>

The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation invested 55 million US dollars in the company BioNTech in 2019, to support the development of novel prospective vaccines. This was announced in the Handelsblatt on 4 September 2019.

s. <https://www.handelsblatt.com/technik/medizin/hiv-und-tuberkulose-impfstoffe-bill-und-melinda-gates-investieren-in-deutsche-biotechfirma-biontech/24978960.html>

In mid-March 2020, BioNTech entered into alliances with two partners for testing and subsequent commercialisation: Fosun Pharma (Shanghai) for the Chinese market, and Pfizer for the rest of the world. BioNTech and Pfizer announced further details regarding their collaboration in April 2020, to advance global COVID-19 vaccine release. Pfizer and BioNTech decided to jointly develop a vaccine against COVID-19, initially for the US and Europe, and to subsequently increase production capacity to support global supply. Pfizer and BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccines (COMIRNATY) are based on BioNTech's own mRNA technology and were jointly developed by BioNTech and Pfizer.

s. <https://biontechse.gcs-web.com/de/news-releases/news-release-details/biontech-und-pfizer-geben-weitere-details-zur-kollaboration>

The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation is by far the largest private donor to the WHO. Bill Gates' billion-dollar donations harbour a big conflict of interest. Most of the Gates' money is tied to specific objectives. This means that the WHO cannot set priorities by itself, but is obliged to this largely private actor. Bill Gates takes advantage of this fact. After all, the Gates Foundation is neither democratically elected, nor can it be held accountable.

s. <https://www.swr.de/swr2/wissen/who-am-bettelstab-was-gesund-ist-bestimmt-bill-gates-100.html>

People's health is subject to economic returns on investment. This was confirmed during the Covid crisis by the large profits made by pharmaceutical companies. The US pharmaceutical company Pfizer with BioNTech was able to increase its revenue by 47 percent to almost 28 billion US dollars in the second quarter of 2022.

s. <https://www.handelsblatt.com/unternehmen/industrie/pharmakonzern-milliardengeschaeft-mit-corona-medikamenten-pfizer-erhoeht-gewinnprognose/28556660.html>

With Bill Gates' heavy investments in AstraZeneca, Johnson & Johnson, Novavax and Sanofi, as well as the two RNA vaccines from Pfizer/BioNTech and Moderna, it was clearly in his interest to get a vaccine to market as quickly as possible during the COVID-19 pandemic. He also expressed this desire in interviews. Gates knew that these vaccines would present no real solution to the crisis due to the short development time: so-called RNA and DNA vaccines against coronavirus, such as those currently being developed by the German pharmaceutical companies Curevac and BioNtech - these are "*probably not the big solution*", according to Gates. Since Gates and his foundation are major investors in vaccines, he was able to push for rapid vaccine development, using his influence in the pharmaceutical companies.

s. <https://www.spiegel.de/wissenschaft/bill-gates-im-spiegel-gespraech-ich-habe-das-coronavirus-nicht-erschaffen-a-b37f0211-15a2-4fa8-8452-e808b2b46adf>

As a result of this rapid development, a vaccine was put on the market, whose safety, efficacy, long-term effects and adverse effects were unknown, and with which impeccable manufacturing cannot be guaranteed. Bill Gates not only supported this approach with his riches, but also actively encouraged it. His wealth has ensured Gates' ability to orchestrate the WHO and the pharmaceutical companies according to his ideas at all times and in all matters. His enormous influence on pharmaceutical companies and on the WHO became apparent when he was interviewed by the German television channel ARD on 12 April 2020, long before the authorisation of the first vaccines, despite him being neither a qualified medical professional nor a trained scientist.

s. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=083Vjebhzgl>

We are now experiencing the aftermath of the rapid development and authorisation of vaccines against COVID-19, as has already been described in detail in this complaint. Eighty lawsuits have been filed against vaccine manufacturer AstraZeneca in the UK for causing severe disability and post-injection fatalities. This amounts to more than 90 million Euros in damages. The manufacturers of vaccines against COVID-19 are already facing a wave of lawsuits.

<https://www.zeit.de/news/2023-11/15/gericht-verhandelt-klagen-wegen-corona-impfschaeden>

Bill Gates, with his wealth and influence, has driven the rapid development and the rapid widespread commercialisation of the inadequately researched mRNA vaccines, which he has

publicly advocated. Thus, he is also largely responsible for the damage caused by the vaccines. The supposedly greatest philanthropist of our time not only benefits financially from his foundation, but also uses it to influence political decision-making around the globe in an undemocratic way.

s. <https://www.kopp-verlag.de/Das-Bill-Gates-Problem.htm?websale8=kopp-verlag.01-aa&pi=BA8EBD49&&6=55836103&otpcytoid=55836103&CS=LB>

The damage and the many fatalities caused by this rapid development and marketing are so severe that they are capable of destroying the population in whole or in part, since many more fatalities and long-term injuries are to be expected. In addition, the vaccine continues to be supplied to several countries as a result of the finalised purchase agreements. On the basis of the available information, there is reason to believe that violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes have been and are being committed.

7. Stella Kyriakides has been EU Commissioner for Health since 2019. She studied psychology. She worked in the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Cyprus from 1979 to 2006, and was appointed President of the National Committee for Cancer Strategies by the Council of Ministers in 1999. According to Cypriot journalist Miklos Omkolar, Ms Kyriakides was at the centre of a major corruption case in the nationalisation of all cancer treatment centres. In March 2020, Kyriakides was appointed to head the COVID-19 pandemic's Special Task Force by President Ursula von der Leyen. In this position, alongside Sandra Gallina as negotiator, she was also responsible for the acquisition of COVID-19 vaccines for the EU member states.

s. https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stella_Kyriakides

The pre-purchase agreement with Pfizer/BioNTech was signed on behalf of the EU on 20 November 2020, with the EU accepting all conditions of the pharmaceutical company regarding safety, efficacy and quality of the vaccine against COVID-19, exempting the pharmaceutical company from any responsibility and liability for potential damages. In doing so, it subjected all EU citizens to a large-scale research project without obtaining their prior consent. This is already a serious violation of the Nuremberg Code and of General Human Rights. Stella Kyriakides signed the pre-purchase agreement, which enabled the rapid authorisation, distribution and administration of the vaccines. She is therefore also responsible for the countless injuries and fatalities caused by the administration of the vaccine, which is ongoing because the vaccine has not been withdrawn from the market. With her signature, Kyriakides has set in motion a process that has caused numerous fatalities and long-term damage and injury. On the basis of the available information, there is reason to believe that violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes have been committed.

8. Ursula von der Leyen has been President of the European Commission since 2019. In June 2020, the EU member states instructed the EU Commission to acquire Covid vaccines. The Commission subsequently initiated a European Vaccine Strategy. Ursula von der Leyen, as President of the European Commission, has been criticised by the media and the public for her role in the acquisition of vaccines during the Covid-19 pandemic. She conducted negotiations on the acquisition of vaccines via text messaging with Albert Bourla, the chairman and managing director of the US pharmaceutical company Pfizer.

Ursula von der Leyen has so far refused to disclose any information before the European Parliament about her many text messages with Albert Bourla, the CEO of Pfizer, with deals worth millions of euros being negotiated. She also attempted to conceal the original pre-purchase agreement with Pfizer at all costs.

The European Commission's homepage only provides a blackened contract for download, to prevent EU citizens from discovering how the European Commission has risked their lives for a large-scale research project without obtaining EU citizens' consent. In the meantime, the original contract was leaked and the whole disaster has come to light.

s. https://commission.europa.eu/system/files/2021-03/redacted_advance_purchase_agreement_biontech-pfizer_0.pdf

With the pre-purchase agreement between the EU and Pfizer/BioNTech, Ursula von Leyen established that primarily the mRNA vaccine from Pfizer/BioNTech was to be distributed in the EU. The way the vaccine was to be dealt with is now also known. According to the pre-purchase agreement, there was no need to pay any attention to the efficacy, safety and quality of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, since the shortcomings of the vaccine were fully accepted by the EU. The management of any damage caused by the administering of Pfizer/BioNTech's mRNA vaccine was transferred to the EU Member States, with Pfizer/BioNTech being freed from any liability. Ursula von der Leyen carries the core responsibility in the EU, who facilitated the enormous damage done to the population through a medical experiment. Von der Leyen has unscrupulously risked the lives of all EU citizens. With her actions, she has not only violated the Nuremberg Code, but also General Human Rights.

The administration of the vaccine has caused and continues to cause countless injuries and fatalities, with the vaccine not having been withdrawn from the market. This medical experiment may lead to a decimation in population. Ursula von der Leyen is one of the main decision-makers making this medical experiment possible. This experiment carries the potential of destroying the population in whole or in part. Ursula von der Leyen committed violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes.

Urgency of the investigation

Two journalists from the German magazine Multipolar have filed a lawsuit to force the Robert Koch Institute to hand over the protocols of its meetings on the pandemic. The minutes handed over so far cover the period from January 2020 to April 2021. Although the released documents have been heavily blackened, it is clear from the published documents that while politicians exerted enormous pressure on the Robert Koch Institute, the institute was also willing to carry out any instructions from politicians. This is why its president, Lothar Wieler, announced at the press conference on 28 July 2020 that the rules introduced must never be questioned.

The institute was responsible for compiling and publishing reports on the course of the pandemic. The minutes of the Robert Koch Institute's crisis team meeting on 15 March 2020 state: "A new risk assessment was prepared at the weekend. It is to be scaled up this week. The risk assessment will be published as soon as [name redacted] gives a signal for it."

In the protocols of several coronavirus meetings, explicit reference was made to the guidelines of the Federal Ministry of Health. The minutes of the crisis unit of 29 July 2020 clearly state: "Still high risk. Specification from the BMG: Nothing will be changed by 1 July." It goes on to say: "Testing should be steered in a certain direction. How can the political desire for increased testing be met?" The institute actually worked by order and not based on evidence.

In January 2021 at the Federal Press Conference, even German Chancellor Angela Merkel admitted in response to a question that the coronavirus measures were a "political decision". Many believed that the German government was actually forced to take a hard line for scientific reasons. However, she explicitly stated that she was aware that there were also other voices in the scientific community who were against the hard line. However, it was her political will to take precisely this course: "There are also fundamental political decisions in all of this that have nothing to do with science." She continued: "By inviting certain scientists, we want to get answers to certain questions that interest us and are not of political nature." This was the criticism levelled by critics that only "certain" scientists with answers to "certain" questions are heard and therefore "certain" answers and "certain" decisions emerge. She did not provide any details of the studies she used to substantiate her course. The Chancellor thus effectively conceded that there is no alternative to her course. But that she had decided against any alternative.

The measures were pretty much the same in the countries of the European Union. Proportionality was not taken into account at all. The alleged crimes were committed on a large scale and practised institutionally. Within their sphere of influence, the eight people named above played a decisive role in bringing about the tragedy described above. All of them have endangered the lives of the people of the European Union with their decisions and have allowed them to be used for research purposes without any scruples. With their actions, they have enabled and supported a vaccine whose efficacy and safety is unknown, whose production is associated with great risks, which according to the contract can lead to death, illness and disability, to be conditionally authorised by the EMA and subsequently vaccinated to the elderly, sick, healthy, children, babies and pregnant women. Even the Robert Koch Institute in Germany confirmed in the RKI protocol of 8 January 2021 on the vaccine: "Evidence situation: Vaccine effect is not yet known; duration of protection is also unknown (...); we still need to gain experience of vaccinated people".

In their sphere of influence, the eight individuals named on page 1 of this complaint have played a significant role in making this tragedy possible. All of them have put the lives of 451 million EU citizens at risk with their decision-making, and have unscrupulously subjected humans to research purposes. Through their actions, they have enabled and supported the conditional marketing authorisation by the EMA of a vaccine whose efficacy and safety are unknown, the production of which involves high risks and which, according to the contract, may lead to death, disease and disability, and which has been administered to the elderly, the sick, the healthy, children, babies and pregnant women. They have all disregarded the Nuremberg Code and Universal Human Rights. The eight individuals mentioned carry the core responsibility for causing injuries and fatalities. They have brought the people of the EU into circumstances which are likely to destroy the population in whole or in part. On 18 October 2023, following a request from several MEPs, the EMA confirmed that „*COVID-19 vaccines have not been authorised for preventing transmission from one person to another.*“

s. https://drive.google.com/file/d/1gDfGrb8wFQWnMSOolgm87sX6Xqy_X4S0/view?pli=1

Governments knew that these vaccines would not stop the spread of the virus. They did however not pass this knowledge on to their citizens and, despite better knowledge, they introduced vaccine mandates for some parts of the population. The vaccine was administered around 4.6 billion times worldwide. According to recent publications, up to 17 million people globally have already perished as a result of COVID-19 vaccinations. On the basis of the information available, there is reason to believe that the eight named individuals on page 1 of this complaint have committed violations of the Nuremberg Code, genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes. The alleged crimes were committed on a large scale and carried out institutionally. Given the gravity of the offences committed and the absence of relevant national proceedings against those who appear to be responsible for the most serious crimes in these circumstances, this situation would be the possible case that is admissible for identifying crimes under international law before the International Court of Justice.

Given the severity of the offences and the interests of the victims, there are no reasonable grounds to believe that an investigation would not serve the interests of justice. A swift decision by the International Court of Justice would therefore be of particularly importance as an urgent response to the crimes having been and currently still being committed, resulting in lives being saved. The request for an investigation fulfils the criteria of the Statute, because this ultimately affects the survival of the human race not only in Europe but globally.

Once again we would like to express explicitly that not only the EU Commission, but also the pharmaceutical company Pfizer/BioNTech were exempted from any liability even prior to the vaccine's authorisation. The EU Commission even agreed to the definition of vaccine damage in the pre-purchase agreement, thereby simply accepting future deaths, disabilities, illnesses and economic damage caused by vaccination, being a medical intervention used upon healthy people.

A swift decision by the International Court of Justice is of utmost urgency. An official investigation must be initiated without further delay, to stop the administration and further distribution of this vaccine with immediate effect. Only then can the crime of global genocide and crimes against humanity be successfully stopped and prevented.